

# Studies on correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield related components in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]

Amit Kumar<sup>1\*</sup>, Satya Prakash<sup>1</sup>, Pawan Kumar<sup>2</sup>, Satvaan Singh<sup>3</sup>, Girijesh Yadav<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Horticulture, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut-250110, Uttar Pradesh, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Entomology, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut-250110, Uttar Pradesh, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Floriculture and Landscaping, Architecture, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut-250110, Uttar Pradesh, India

<sup>4</sup>Department of Agriculture Extension & Communication, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut-250110, Uttar Pradesh, India

## ABSTRACT

Correlation and path coefficient analysis studies were carried out on ninety-five okra genotypes for twelve characters. The study revealed that fruit yield per plant was positively correlated with the number of fruits per plant, number of nodes per plant, number of seeds per fruit, weight of 100 seeds, fruit length, number of primary branches per plant, fruit diameter, and plant height at genotypic and phenotypic levels. The internode length was found to have a negative correlation with fruit yield per plant. The positive direct effect on fruit yield per plant was exerted by the number of fruits per plant followed by internode length, days to 50 per cent flowering, number of seeds per fruit, number of primary branches per plant, number of nodes per plant, fruit diameter, and fruit length at both genotypic and phenotypic levels. Therefore, direct selection of these traits would be beneficial for improvement in okra.

**Keywords:** Correlation, path coefficient analysis, Yield, Okra, Direct effect, indirect effects

## INTRODUCTION

Okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench], which belongs to the Malvaceae family and has a chromosomal number of  $2n=130$ , has risen to prominence among vegetables. *Abelmoschus* species number around 34 in the Malvaceae family, including 30 in the Old World and four in the New World (Joshi et al., 1974). A wild form of *A. tuberculatus* is endemic to India. According to Zeven and Zhukovsky's (1975) taxonomic classification, the cultivated species *A. esculentus* is thought to have originated in the Hindustani centre, i.e., India. It is widely cultivated in temperate, subtropical, and tropical climates across the world (Kochhar, 1986). It's an often pollinated crop, with an outcrossing rate ranging from 4 to 19 percent and a maximum of 42.2 percent (Kumar, 2006). Okra's tender fruits are commonly used as a vegetable or in culinary preparations as sliced and fried portions (Pradip

## ARTICLE HISTORY:

Received : 1 June 2022

Revised : 21 August 2022

Accepted : 23 October 2022

Available : Online 24 October 2022

## DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7466004>

## CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Amit Kumar

## E-MAIL ID:

[amit0455501@gmail.com](mailto:amit0455501@gmail.com)

## COPYRIGHT:

© 2022 by the authors. The license of Theoretical Biology Forum. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

et al. 2010). Its fruits have excellent nutritional, therapeutic, and industrial value, as well as potential for export. Vitamins, calcium, potassium, and other minerals may be found in abundance in okra fruits.

In general, plant breeders choose yield components that indirectly boost yield since direct selection for yield improvement may not be the most efficient strategy. Path coefficient analysis assists in separating out the entire association into direct and indirect impacts, which is important for selecting the most useful features for yield improvement through selection. Plant breeders value the study of correlation between plant features because it gives a measure

of the degree of relationship between yield and other yield parameters (Kumar et al., 2013). The correlation between direct and indirect impacts is partitioned in the path coefficient analysis, which may be beneficial in determining which characteristics have direct and indirect effects on yield.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This experiment was conducted at the Horticulture Research Centre of the Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut, during the summer season of 2021. The Horticulture Research Centre, Meerut is located at 29.01°N latitude and 77.45°E by having a distance of around 70 KM from the national capital, New Delhi, at an altitude of 297 m.a.s.l. The experiment used 95 genotypes (20 parents and 75 hybrids) in a randomised block design with three replications. Each plot had a size of 2.40 m x 1.50 m, consisting of four rows and a total of five plants per row. The spacing between rows, plants, and adjacent replications was 0.60, 0.30, and 1 meter, respectively. Twenty genotypes were collected from ICAR-IIVR, Varanasi and seventy-five hybrids were developed from the cross of genotypes in a Line x Tester design. Five plants were selected randomly from each replication and data was recorded for the characters viz. Plant height (cm), days to 50% flowering, days to first flowering, internode length (cm), number of nodes per plant, number of fruits per plant, fruit length (cm), fruit diameter (cm), number of seeds per fruit, weight of 100 seeds per plant (g), and fruit yield per plant (g). The approach proposed by Al-Jibouri et al., 1958 was used to determine coefficients for all quantitative character combinations at the phenotypic and genotypic levels. The path coefficient analysis was calculated using the approach proposed by Wright in 1921 and further elaborated by Dewey and Lu in 1959.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Correlation Coefficient Analysis

For all of the characteristics investigated in this study, analysis of variance revealed that genotypes differed considerably among themselves. The genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients calculated among twelve characteristics (table-1) demonstrated that the genotypic correlation coefficient was higher than the phenotypic

correlation coefficients in general, showing a strong inherent association between various traits. The phenotypic correlation coefficient was higher in certain situations than the genotypic correlation coefficient, which might be due to the environment's impact on the relationship of characteristics. These results are similar to those found with Pundir et al. (2022), Verma and Singh (2020), Yadav et al. (2020), Kumar and Patil (2020) and Temam (2020).

The correlation coefficient analysis (Table-1) showed highly significant and positive genotypic and phenotypic correlation of Fruit yield per plant with number of fruit per plant (0.98, 0.97), number of nodes per plant (0.89, 0.88), number of seeds per fruit (0.35, 0.34), weight of 100 seed (0.30, 0.30), fruit length (0.28, 0.27), number of primary branches per plant (0.25, 0.24), fruit diameter (0.23, 0.22) and plant height (0.13, 0.13) indicating the possibility of simultaneous selection for these traits. Correlation estimates suggest that yields can be improved through selection based on these traits. These results are in close harmony with the findings of Bagadiya et al. (2022), Sravanthi et al. (2021), Verma and Singh (2020), Kumar and Patil (2020), Janarthanan and Sundaram (2020) and Raval et al. (2019) for number of fruit per plant. Kumari et al. (2019) and Sujata et al. (2019) for number of nodes per plant. Raval et al. (2019), Prasath et al. (2017) and Singh et al. (2017) for number of seeds per fruit. Raval et al. (2019) and Prasath et al. (2017) for weight of 100 seed. Sravanthi et al. (2021) and Kumar and Patil (2020) for fruit length. Pundir et al. (2022), Verma and Singh (2020), Janarthanan and Sundaram (2020) and Sujata et al. (2019) for number of primary branches per plant. Pundir et al. (2022) and Temam (2020) for fruit diameter. Verma and Singh (2020), Rynjah et al. (2020) for plant height. Fruit yield per plant showed significant negative genotypic and phenotypic correlation with internodes length. Similar result was reported by Bagadiya et al. (2022) and Prasath et al. (2017).

### Path Coefficient Analysis

Genotypic and phenotypic path coefficient analysis (Table-2) exhibited high positive direct effects on fruit yield per plant were exerted by the number of fruit per plant (1.09, 1.04), internodes length (0.18, 0.12), days to 50 per cent flowering

**Table:1** Estimates of correlation coefficient for genotypic and phenotypic levels among twelve characters in okra[*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]

Characters		Plant height (cm)	Days to 50 % flowering	Days to first flowering	Number of primary branches per plant	Internodes Length (cm)	Number of nodes per plant	Number of fruits per plant	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit diameter (cm)	Number of seeds per fruit	Weight of 100 seed (g)	Fruit yield per plant (g)
Plant height (cm)	G	1.00	-0.21**	-0.22**	0.34**	0.57**	-0.03	0.10	0.39**	0.17**	0.31**	0.06	0.13*
	P	1.00	-0.20**	-0.21**	0.33**	0.56**	-0.02	0.10	0.38**	0.16**	0.30**	0.05	0.13*
Days to 50 % flowering	G		1.00	0.98**	-0.04	-0.19**	0.12*	0.05	0.15*	0.15*	0.09	-0.13*	0.08
	P		1.00	0.97**	-0.04	-0.18**	0.12	0.04	0.14*	0.14*	0.09	-0.12*	0.08
Days to first flowering	G			1.00	-0.05	-0.19**	0.11	0.04	0.13*	0.15**	0.08	-0.11	0.08
	P			1.00	-0.04	-0.18**	0.10	0.03	0.13*	0.14*	0.07	-0.10	0.07
Number of primary branches per plant	G				1.00	0.18**	-0.01	0.22**	0.11	0.27**	0.19**	0.28**	0.25**
	P				1.00	0.17**	-0.02	0.21**	0.11	0.26**	0.18**	0.27**	0.24**
Internodes Length (cm)	G					1.00	-0.82**	-0.72**	0.01	-0.03	-0.07	-0.16**	-0.67**
	P					1.00	-0.81**	-0.71**	0.02	-0.03	-0.06	-0.16**	-0.66**
Number of nodes per plant	G						1.00	0.93**	0.22**	0.18**	0.27**	0.23**	0.89**
	P						1.00	0.92**	0.21**	0.17**	0.26**	0.22**	0.88**
Number of fruits per plant	G							1.00	0.26**	0.20**	0.33**	0.32**	0.98**
	P							1.00	0.25**	0.19**	0.32**	0.31**	0.97**
Fruit length (cm)	G								1.00	0.30**	0.55**	0.28**	0.28**
	P								1.00	0.29**	0.54**	0.27**	0.27**
Fruit diameter (cm)	G									1.00	0.07	0.26**	0.23**
	P									1.00	0.06	0.25**	0.22**
Number of seeds per fruit	G										1.00	0.31**	0.35**
	P										1.00	0.30**	0.34**
Weight of 100 seed (g)	G											1.00	0.30**
	P											1.00	0.30**
Fruit yield per plant (g)	G												1.00
	P												1.00

(0.15, 0.12), number of seeds per fruit (0.08, 0.04), number of primary branches per plant (0.02, 0.02), number of nodes per plant (0.02, 0.02) fruit diameter (0.02, 0.01) and fruit length (0.01, 0.01) at both genotypic and phenotypic levels. The number of fruits per plant (1.09, 1.04) had very high positive direct effect on fruit yield per plant but also had a significant positive correlation with fruit yield per plant (0.98, 0.97) and the correlation coefficient is equal to the direct effect. Internode length (0.18, 0.12) showed low positive direct effect on fruit yield per plant, but it is negatively correlated with fruit yield per plant (-0.67, -0.66).

Days to 50% flowering (0.15, 0.12) had low positive direct effect on fruit yield per plant, but it was not significantly correlated with fruit yield per plant (0.08, 0.08). The number of seeds per fruit (0.08, 0.04), number of primary branches per plant (0.02, 0.02), number of nodes per plant (0.02, 0.02), fruit diameter (0.02, 0.01), and fruit length (0.01, 0.01) all had negligible positive direct effects on fruit yield per plant but these are significantly positively correlated with fruit yield per plant (0.25, 0.24), (0.35, 0.34), (0.89, 0.88), (0.23, 0.22), (0.28, 0.27), respectively. Similar results were observed by Rajani et al. (2022), Al-

**Table:2** Estimates of direct and indirect effects for twelve characters studied towards fruit yield in okra[*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]

Characters		Plant height (cm)	Days to 50 % flowering	Days to first flowering	No. of primary branches per plant	Internodes Length (cm)	No. of nodes per plant	No. of fruits per plant	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit diameter (cm)	No. of seeds per fruit	Weight of 100 seed (g)	R with Fruit yield per plant (g)
Plant height (cm)	G	<b>-0.09</b>	-0.02	0.02	0.01	0.10	-0.05	0.11	0.04	0.02	0.01	-0.02	0.13**
	P	<b>-0.05</b>	-0.02	0.02	0.01	0.07	-0.04	0.10	0.03	0.01	0.02	-0.02	0.13**
Days to 50 % flowering	G	0.02	<b>0.15</b>	-0.11	-0.08	-0.03	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.08
	P	0.01	<b>0.12</b>	-0.08	-0.08	-0.02	0.01	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.08
Days to first flowering	G	0.02	0.14	<b>-0.11</b>	-0.04	-0.03	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.08
	P	0.01	0.11	<b>-0.08</b>	-0.07	-0.02	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.07
Number of primary branches per plant	G	-0.03	-0.06	0.05	<b>0.02</b>	0.03	-0.02	0.24	0.02	0.04	0.02	-0.06	0.25**
	P	-0.02	-0.05	0.03	<b>0.02</b>	0.02	-0.04	0.22	0.01	0.04	0.02	-0.01	0.24**
Internodes Length (cm)	G	-0.05	-0.03	0.02	0.03	<b>0.18</b>	-0.03	-0.78	0.02	-0.05	-0.01	0.03	-0.67**
	P	-0.03	-0.02	0.03	0.01	<b>0.12</b>	-0.03	-0.76	0.02	-0.02	-0.01	0.03	-0.66**
Number of nodes per plant	G	0.02	0.02	-0.08	-0.02	-0.14	<b>0.02</b>	1.01	0.03	0.03	0.02	-0.02	0.89**
	P	0.01	0.01	-0.06	-0.01	-0.10	<b>0.02</b>	0.95	0.02	0.03	0.02	-0.01	0.88**
Number of fruits per plant	G	-0.08	0.07	-0.05	0.04	-0.13	0.02	<b>1.09</b>	0.03	0.03	0.03	-0.07	0.98**
	P	-0.05	0.02	-0.03	0.02	-0.09	0.02	<b>1.04</b>	0.03	0.03	0.03	-0.05	0.97**
Fruit length (cm)	G	-0.04	0.02	-0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.28	<b>0.01</b>	0.01	0.00	-0.06	0.28**
	P	-0.02	0.01	-0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.26	<b>0.01</b>	0.01	0.00	-0.04	0.27**
Fruit diameter (cm)	G	-0.02	0.02	-0.02	0.05	-0.06	0.04	0.22	0.02	<b>0.02</b>	0.01	-0.05	0.23**
	P	-0.01	0.01	-0.02	0.05	-0.03	0.04	0.2	0.01	<b>0.01</b>	0.00	-0.04	0.22**
No. of seeds per fruit	G	-0.07	0.01	-0.09	0.03	-0.01	0.05	0.37	0.01	0.01	<b>0.08</b>	-0.04	0.35**
	P	-0.05	0.01	-0.06	0.03	-0.01	0.04	0.35	0.00	0.01	<b>0.04</b>	-0.02	0.34**
Weight of 100 seed (g)	G	-0.05	-0.02	0.02	0.01	-0.03	0.02	0.34	0.00	0.01	0.02	<b>-0.02</b>	0.30**
	P	-0.03	-0.02	0.01	0.01	-0.02	0.02	0.32	0.00	0.01	0.02	<b>-0.02</b>	0.30**

Genotypic Residual effect = 0.0291, Phenotypic Residual effect = 0.0365

Juboori (2021), Samiksha et al. (2021), Sravanthi et al. (2021), Verma and Singh (2020), Kumar and Patil (2020), Sujata et al. (2019), Prasath et al. (2017) for number of fruit per plant. Pawar et al. (2020), Verma and Singh (2020), Prasath et al. (2017) for internodes length. Pundir et al. (2022), Sravanthi et al. (2021), Pawar et al. (2020), Rynjah et al. (2020) for number of primary branches per plant. Rajani et al. (2022), Sravanthi et al. (2021), Yadav et al. (2020), Kumar and Patil (2020) for days to 50 per cent flowering. Al-Juboori (2021), Samiksha et al. (2021), Pawar et al. (2020) for fruit diameter. Rajani et al. (2022), Samiksha et al. (2021), Sravanthi et al. (2021), Rynjah et al. (2020), Prasath et al. (2017) for fruit length. Pundir et al. (2022) for number of seeds per fruit and Pawar et al. (2020) for number of nodes per plant.

plant height (-0.09, -0.05) and weight of 100 seed (-0.02, -0.02) showed direct negative genotypic and phenotypic effects on fruit yield per plant and

also showed significant positive correlation with fruit yield (0.13, 0.13), (0.30, 0.30), respectively. Days to first flowering (-0.11, -0.08) showed direct negative effects on fruit yield per plant and also showed non-significant positive correlation with fruit yield (0.08, 0.08). Similar results were observed by Rajani et al. (2022), Sravanthi et al. (2021), Rynjah et al. (2020), Raval et al. (2019) for days to first flowering and plant height. Pundir et al. (2022), Singh et al. (2017) for weight of 100 seed.

## CONCLUSION

The correlation coefficient studies revealed that fruit yield per plant significant positive correlation with number of fruit per plant, number of nodes per plant, number of seeds per fruit, weight of 100 seed, fruit length, number of primary branches per plant, fruit diameter and plant height. The path coefficient analysis revealed that the positive significant positive effect on fruit yield per plant was observed by number of fruits per plant

followed by internode length, number of primary branches per plant, days to 50 per cent flowering, number of seeds per fruit, number of nodes per plant, fruit diameter and fruit length at both genotypic and phenotypic levels, indicating that these traits will be considered as main component of selection in a breeding programme for fruit yield per plant.

### Acknowledgements

The first author would like to acknowledge and thank the whole faculty of the Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India, for providing all the necessary facilities for this research work.

### REFERENCE

- [1.] Al-Juboori A W A (2021) Evaluation yield of okra with associated traits using analysis correlation and path. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science. 761: 012036.
- [2.] Al-Jibouri H A, Miller P A and Robinson H F (1958) Genotypic and environmental variances and covariances in upland cotton crosses of interspecific origin. *Agron. J.* 50: 633-636.
- [3.] Bagadiya PG, Intwala C G, Patel P and Usadad J S (2022) Assessment of the correlation and path analysis with association of growth and yield characteristics in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). *The Pharma Innovation Journal.* 11(4): 769-774.
- [4.] Dewey D K and Lu K H (1959) A Correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. *Agron. J.* 51: 515-518.
- [5.] Janarthanan J, and Sundaram V (2020) Studies on correlation coefficient in F<sub>2</sub> generation of Bhendi [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *International Journal of Chemical Studies.* 8(3): 2195-2197.
- [6.] Joshi A B, Gadwal V R and Hardas M W (1974) Okra. In N.W. Simmonds (ed.) *Evolution of crop plants*, Longmans, London, pp 194-195.
- [7.] Kochhar S L (1986) *Tropical crops*. Macmillan Publishers Ltd., London and Basingstoke, pp.467.
- [8.] Kumar N. (2006) *Breeding of horticultural crops*. New India Publishing Agency, New Delhi. pp.173-177.
- [9.] Kumar R K and Patil R V (2020) Mean performance, character association and path analysis studies for quantitative characters in Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) genotypes. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 9(11): 1357-1365.
- [10.] Kumar N, Tikka S B S, Dagla M C, Ram B, Meena H P (2013) Genotypic adaptability for seed yield and physiological traits in sesame (*Sesam umindicum* L.). *The Bioscan (Supplement on Genetics and Plant Breeding).* 8(4): 1503-1509.
- [11.] Kumari A, Singh V K, Kumari M and Kumar A (2019) Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and quality traits in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 8(6): 918-926.
- [12.] Pawar Y, Sarode S B, Shaikh A B and Kharad D A (2020) Phenotypic and genotypic path coefficient analysis studies in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry.* 9(5): 488-493.
- [13.] Pradip K, Akotkar D K, Pal A K (2010) Genetic variability and diversity in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding.* 1(4):393-398.
- [14.] Prasath G, Reddy K R and Saidaiah P (2017) orrelation and Path Coefficient Analysis of Fruits Yield and Yield Attributes in Okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus*(L.) Moench], *nt.J.Curr.Microbiol. App.Sci.* 6(3): 463-472.
- [15.] Pundir S, Singh M K, Kumar M, Lodhi S K, Singh A and Alam K (2022) Studies on correlation and path coefficient for direct selection between pair of traits using green fruit yield as dependent characters in Okra. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change.* 12(4): 90-96.
- [16.] Rajani A, Naidu L N, Madhavi Y and Srikanth D (2022) Path coefficient analysis studies in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). *The Pharma Innovation Journal.* 11(2): 2050-2053.
- [17.] Raval V, Patel A I, Vashi J M and Chaudhari B N (2019) Correlation and Path Analysis Studies in Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). *Acta Scientific Agriculture* 3(2):65-70.

- [18.] Rynjah S, Arumugam T, Ganesan K N and Kamalkumaran P R (2020) Correlation and path coefficient analysis studies in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 9(3): 1423-1427.
- [19.] Samiksha, Verma R S, Verma S K, Prakash S, Kumar S and Maurya S K (2021) Studies on correlation and path coefficient analysis in Okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. moench]. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 10(03): 277-284
- [20.] Singh N, Singh D K, Pandey P, Panchbhaiya A and Rawat M (2017) Correlation and Path Coefficient Studies in Okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench], *nt.J.Curr.Microbiol. App.Sci.* 6(7): 1096-1101.
- [21.] Sujata P, Satish D, Babu A G, Chittapur R, Prabhuling G and Peerjade D (2019) Studies of character association and path analysis for productivity and quality traits in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 8(4): 1513-1516.
- [22.] Sravanthi U, Prabhakar B N, Saidaiah P, Rao A M, Narayana D L and Sathish G (2021) Correlation and pathanalysis studies in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 10 (10): 761-766.
- [23.] Temam N (2020) Correlation and path coefficient analysis of pod yield and related traits of Okra [*Abelmoschus Esculentus* (L.) Moench] genotypes evaluated at Melkassa, Central Ethiopia. *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology* 9(8): 792-801.
- [24.] Verma V and Singh S (2020) Correlation and path coefficient analysis of quantitative characters in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench]. *International Journal of Chemical Studies* 8(6): 206-208.
- [25.] Wright S. (1921) Correlation and causation. *Journal of Agricultural Research.* 20: 557-587.
- [26.] Yadav S, Singh V B, Yadav G C, Kumar S and Verma P K (2020) Estimation of direct selection parameter in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus*(L.) Moench]. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 9(5): 1488-1490.
- [27.] Zeven A C and Zhukovsky P M (1975) *Dictionary of cultivated plants and their centres of diversity.* Centre for Agricultural Publishing and Documentation, Wageningen, the Netherlands, pp. 219.